

ECON 500a
General Equilibrium and Welfare Economics
Static Exchange Economy

Eduardo Dávila
Yale University

Updated: October 30, 2024

Outline: Static Exchange Economies

1. Edgeworth Box Economy
 2. Static Exchange Economy
 3. Efficiency and Welfare
 4. Microfounding Competition
 5. Competitive Equilibrium
- ▶ Readings
 - ▶ MWG: 17.B

(General) Static Exchange Economy: $I \geq 1, J \geq 1$

- ▶ Pure Exchange Economy
- ▶ $I \geq 1$ individuals, indexed by $i \in \mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, I\}$
- ▶ $J \geq 2$ goods, indexed by $j \in \mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, J\}$
 - ▶ Goods are not produced, appear as endowments
- ▶ Preferences of individual i :

$$V^i = u^i \left(\{c^{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \right)$$

- ▶ Unless noted, preferences are continuous, strictly convex, and strongly monotone

(General) Static Exchange Economy: $I \geq 1, J \geq 1$

- ▶ Pure Exchange Economy
- ▶ $I \geq 1$ individuals, indexed by $i \in \mathcal{I} = \{1, \dots, I\}$
- ▶ $J \geq 2$ goods, indexed by $j \in \mathcal{J} = \{1, \dots, J\}$
 - ▶ Goods are not produced, appear as endowments
- ▶ Preferences of individual i :

$$V^i = u^i \left(\{c^{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \right)$$

- ▶ Unless noted, preferences are continuous, strictly convex, and strongly monotone
- ▶ Resource constraint of good j :

$$\sum_i c^{ij} = \bar{y}^j \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{y}^j = \sum_i \bar{y}^{ij} > 0$$

- ▶ Ownership of endowments: $\bar{y}^{ij} \geq 0$

Static Exchange Economy: $I = 2, J = 3$

► Physical structure

$$V^1 = u^1(c^{11}, c^{12}, c^{13})$$

Preferences Individual 1

$$V^2 = u^2(c^{21}, c^{22}, c^{23})$$

Preferences Individual 2

$$c^{11} + c^{21} = \bar{y}^1 = \bar{y}^{11} + \bar{y}^{21}$$

Resource Constraint Good 1

$$c^{12} + c^{22} = \bar{y}^2 = \bar{y}^{12} + \bar{y}^{22}$$

Resource Constraint Good 2

$$c^{13} + c^{23} = \bar{y}^3 = \bar{y}^{13} + \bar{y}^{23}$$

Resource Constraint Good 3

► Non-negative consumption: $c^{ij} \geq 0$

Notation

- ▶ Allocation

$$\dot{\mathbf{c}} = \left\{ \underbrace{c^{11}, \dots, c^{I1}}_{\text{good 1}}, \dots, \underbrace{c^{1j}, \dots, c^{Ij}}_{\text{good } j}, \dots, \underbrace{c^{1J}, \dots, c^{IJ}}_{\text{good } J} \right\}$$

- ▶ e.g: $\mathbf{c}^1 = \{c^{11}, \dots, c^{1J}\}$
- ▶ An allocation ($\dot{\mathbf{c}} \geq 0$) is *feasible* when resource constraints hold
- ▶ Endowments

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}} = \left\{ \underbrace{\bar{y}^{11}, \dots, \bar{y}^{I1}}_{\text{good 1}}, \dots, \underbrace{\bar{y}^{1j}, \dots, \bar{y}^{Ij}}_{\text{good } j}, \dots, \underbrace{\bar{y}^{1J}, \dots, \bar{y}^{IJ}}_{\text{good } J} \right\}$$

- ▶ e.g. $\bar{\mathbf{y}}^1 = \{\bar{y}^{11}, \dots, \bar{y}^{1J}\}$
- ▶ *Autarky* allocation is $\dot{\mathbf{c}} = \dot{\mathbf{y}}$

Planning Problem

- ▶ Pareto set \rightarrow allocations \mathring{c} that solve *planning problem*:

$$\max_{\mathring{c}} \sum_i \alpha^i u^i \left(\{c^{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \right)$$

subject to

$$\sum_i c^{ij} = \bar{y}^j, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J},$$

where $\sum_i \alpha^i = 1$ and $\alpha^i \geq 0$

- ▶ Varying Pareto weights α^i traces Pareto frontier

Axiomatic (Nash) Bargaining

- ▶ Contract curve \rightarrow allocations \hat{c} that solve *Nash bargaining problem*:

$$\max_{\hat{c}} \prod_i \left(u^i \left(\{c^{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \right) - u^i \left(\{\bar{y}^{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \right) \right)^{\alpha_i},$$

subject to

$$\sum_i c^{ij} = \bar{y}^j, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J},$$

where $\sum_i \alpha^i = 1$ and $\alpha^i \geq 0$

- ▶ Solutions to this problem satisfy
 - Pareto efficiency
 - individual rationality
 - independence of irrelevant alternatives

If A is chosen over B in the choice set $\{A, B\}$, introducing a third option C must not result choosing B over A

Competitive/Walrasian Equilibrium

- A *competitive equilibrium* is an allocation \hat{c} and prices $\mathbf{p} = (p^1, \dots, p^J)$ such that
- i) individuals choose consumption to maximize utility subject to their budget constraint taking prices as given:

$$\mathbf{c}^i(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i) = \arg \max_{\mathbf{c}^i} u^i \left(\{c^{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \right)$$

subject to

$$\sum_j p^j c^{ij} = \sum_j p^j \bar{y}^{ij}$$

- ii) and markets clear, that is, resource constraints hold:

$$\sum_i c^{ij} = \sum_i \bar{y}^{ij}, \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{J}$$

Individual Problem

- ▶ Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = u^i \left(\{c^{ij}\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \right) - \lambda^i \left(\sum_j p^j c^{ij} - \sum_j p^j \bar{y}^{ij} \right) + \nu^{ij} c^{ij}$$

- ▶ Optimality conditions given by

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dc^{ij}} = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial c^{ij}} - \lambda^i p^j + \nu^{ij} = 0$$

- ▶ For any two goods j and $\ell \in \mathcal{J}$ consumed by i :

$$\frac{\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial c^{ij}}}{p^j} = \frac{\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial c^{i\ell}}}{p^\ell} = \lambda^i$$

- ▶ How to interpret this equation?
- ▶ In which units is each variable measured?

Excess Demand + Numeraire

- ▶ *Individual excess demand:* $z^{ij}(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i) = c^{ij}(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i) - \bar{y}^{ij}$
 - ▶ $z^{ij}(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i) > (<) 0$: i net buyer (seller) of good j
- ▶ *Aggregate excess demand:* $z^j(\mathbf{p}; \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \sum_i z^{ij}(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i)$
 - ▶ $z^j(\mathbf{p}; \bar{\mathbf{y}}) > (<) 0$: aggregate excess demand (supply) of good j
- ▶ In vector form:

$$\mathbf{z}^i(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i) = \mathbf{c}^i(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i) - \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p}; \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = \sum_i \mathbf{z}^i(\mathbf{p}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i)$$

- ▶ Competitive equilibrium allocations given by

$$\boxed{\mathbf{c}^{i*}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}^i) = \mathbf{c}^i(\mathbf{p}^*, \bar{\mathbf{y}}^i)} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{p}^* \quad \text{solves} \quad \boxed{\mathbf{z}(\mathbf{p}^*; \bar{\mathbf{y}}) = 0}$$

- ▶ Numeraire indeterminacy \Rightarrow Same as Edgeworth Box

Where Are The Diagrams?

- ▶ Only excess demand diagram is useful: when $J = 2$ and $I \geq 1$
- ▶ One could hypothetically draw
 - ▶ $I = 2$ and $J = 3 \rightarrow$ Edgeworth Cube?
 - ▶ Utility diagram with $I = 3$ and $J \geq 1$

Application: Armington Model

- ▶ Interesting case: $I = J \geq 2 \rightarrow$ Edgeworth box is $I = J = 2$
Armington (1969); Anderson (1979)

Armington Model

- ▶ Theory of international trade based on product differentiation by country of origin
 - ▶ Goods “produced” in different countries are not perfect substitutes
 - ▶ Countries prefer to consume a variety of goods from multiple other countries rather than solely rely on the cheapest option
- ▶ Simplest model that generates a gravity equation
Anderson and van Wincoop (2003); Arkolakis, Costinot, and Rodríguez-Clare (2012)
- ▶ Different from Ricardian model (in Block II), in which countries specialize in goods with comparative advantage in production

Application: Armington Model

- ▶ $I > 1$ individuals (countries) consume the $J = I$ goods available
- ▶ Country i is endowed with good $j = i$
 - ▶ Country 1 endowed with good 1, country 2 with good 2, ..., country I is endowed with good $J = I$
- ▶ Country i has CES preferences (likes all goods)
 $\sigma \geq 0$ is elasticity of substitution, $a^{ij} > 0$ are “demand parameters”

$$V^i = \left(\sum_j (a^{ij})^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} (c^{ij})^{\frac{\sigma-1}{\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}$$

- ▶ Budget constraint

$$\sum_j p^{ij} c^{ij} = p^i \bar{y}^i$$

- ▶ Country specific prices — p^{ij} rather than p^j — to allow for transportation costs (coming next)
- ▶ Equivalent formulation: each country has a fixed factor exclusively used to produce domestic good

Application: Armington Model

- ▶ Country i optimality conditions:

$$\frac{c^{ij}}{c^{i\ell}} = \frac{a^{ij}}{a^{i\ell}} \left(\frac{p^{ij}}{p^{i\ell}} \right)^{-\sigma}$$

- ▶ So country i 's expenditure on good j is

This is a version of $c^i(p, \bar{y}^i)$

$$p^{ij} c^{ij} = a^{ij} \left(\frac{p^{ij}}{P^i} \right)^{1-\sigma} p^i \bar{y}^i, \quad \text{where} \quad P^i = \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\ell} (p^{i\ell})^{1-\sigma} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}}}_{\text{"Price Index"}}$$

- ▶ “Iceberg” shipping costs: when country j ships c^{ij} units to country i , τ^{ij} units are lost (“melt”)

This is really a technological assumption, explained in Block II

- ▶ So

$$p^{ij} = (1 + \tau^{ij}) p^j$$

Gravity Equation

- ▶ Expenditure of i on j becomes

$$p^j c^{ij} = \underbrace{a^{ij} (1 + \tau^{ij})^{-\sigma} (P^i)^{1-\sigma}}_{\text{bilateral/multilateral}} \underbrace{(p^j)^{1-\sigma}}_{j\text{- term}} \underbrace{p^i \bar{y}^i}_{i\text{- term}}$$

- ▶ This is a *generalized gravity equation* → empirical work
 - ▶ Why gravity? Flow between i and j depends on i -term, j -term, ij -term (bilateral/multilateral)
 - ▶ See e.g. Allen and Arkolakis (2016)
- ▶ First example of how one can use a GE model as a foundation for empirical work
- ▶ Note: we could close the model, but we won't

References I

- ALLEN, T., AND C. ARKOLAKIS (2016): “Elements of Advanced International Trade,” Unpublished graduate class notes.
- ANDERSON, J., AND E. VAN WINCOOP (2003): “Gravity with Gravitas: A Solution to the Border Puzzle,” *The American Economic Review*, 93(1), 170–192.
- ANDERSON, J. E. (1979): “A theoretical foundation for the gravity equation,” *The American Economic Review*, 69(1), 106–116.
- ARKOLAKIS, C., A. COSTINOT, AND A. RODRÍGUEZ-CLARE (2012): “New Trade Models, Same Old Gains?,” *American Economic Review*, 102(1), 94–130.
- ARMINGTON, P. S. (1969): “A Theory of Demand for Products Distinguished by Place of Production,” *International Monetary Fund Staff Papers*, 16(1), 159–178.